Meeting

MINUTES DECEMBER 5, 2011 10:00 AM

800 NE OREGON ST #1D
PORTLAND OR 97232

MEETING CALLED BY	Laird Funk, ACMM Chair
TYPE OF MEETING	The Oregon Medical Marijuana Program (OMMP) Advisory Committee on Medical Marijuana (ACMM) provides an opportunity for public to discuss administrative issues with the OMMP management.
NOTE TAKER	John Sorensen, OMMP
TIMEKEEPER	Laird Funk, ACMM Chair
MEETING CALLED TO ORDER	**10:00 AM**
ATTENDEES	**ACMM**: Sandee Burbank, Laird Funk, Dr. Alan Cohn, Jim Klahr, Stormy Ray (2nd Half), Todd Dalotto, Brian Michaels, and Dr. Gerry Lehrburger. Alice Ivany and Christine McGarvin were not present. **OMMP Staff**: Tawana Nichols, Aaron Cossel, Jody Noon, Dr. Mel Kohn, Michelle Fusak, and John Sorensen
PRESENT AS LISTED ON THE SIGN-IN SHEET	The sign-in sheet was taken by the ACMM chair at the end of the meeting.

Agenda topics

REVIEW OF SEPTEMEBER 6, 2011 MEETING MINUTES **ACMM CHAIR**

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	OUTCOME
Motion: To approve the 9/6/11 meeting minutes with changes (a typographical error on page 1 and the addition of the words "for research" in the motion noted on page 3) made by Mr. Dalotto, 2nd by Dr. Cohn.	Mr. Dalotto	Motion Passed Unanimously

DISCUSSION WITH JODY NOON AND DR. MEL KOHN **OHA ADMINISTRATION & ACMM CHAIR**

DISCUSSION
Dr. Mel Kohn, Director of Public Health, began the discussion by thanking the ACMM for inviting him to the meeting and noted that he was there to hear the ideas and challenges the ACMM may be facing. Mr. Dalotto, in turn, thanked Dr. Kohn for attending and stated that he had a few important issues to discuss. Mr. Dalotto referred to a letter the ACMM sent to Dr. Kohn in October regarding a motion that was passed in the Committee's September meeting asking the Oregon Health Authority (OHA) to pass a legislative concept supporting research and clinical studies into the therapeutic value of cannabis for people suffering from Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) and its possible inclusion on the OMMP's list of accepted conditions. Fortunately, Mr. Dalotto noted, many individuals with PTSD also suffer from other conditions which do qualify them for participation in the OMMP, thereby allowing them to benefit from its use. Mr. Dalotto suggested that the condition has not been added in the past, in part, because of a lack of clinical research in the United States. He feels having the State conduct clinical studies through OHSU could be a possible remedy. He also noted that it is a particularly timely issue due to the number of combat veterans returning to the states with PTSD as a result of their service. Dr. Alan Cohn added that, having worked for the Lane County jail for 35 years, he has seen a large number of people incarcerated who suffer from PTSD. Some, he continued, qualify for the OMMP because of other health issues while those who don't risk repeated incarceration, forced drug treatment, and a variety of expensive and counterproductive activities. Dr. Kohn responded that the State itself has a responsibility for helping individuals with PTSD, many of whom were service members. PTSD is an issue for all levels of government. However, he noted, it would be highly unusual for the state to conduct research in the manner Mr. Dalotto suggested—but did not rule out the possibility entirely. Dr. Kohn was concerned by the notion of the state offering a card to an individual for the purpose of a clinical study. His understanding is that the State does not currently have the authority to do such a thing. Furthermore, Dr. Kohn suggested that the easiest

way to add a condition such as PTSD would be through rule, rather than legislation, if it was determined the program had the authority to do so.

Both Mr. Funk and Ms. Burbank noted that there are already OMMP members treating PTSD with cannabis even though it is their other, qualifying, conditions listed in the registry and that they could participate in any state sponsored studies should the opportunity arise and should they choose to do so. Dr. Kohn noted a study of that sort may be possible, but that the program would need to be careful not to overstep what it is legally allowed to do. Mr. Michaels suggested that the condition simply be added through rule. Ms. Noon responded that adding conditions is not an easy process, but the process by which it may occur is being looked at to determine if it is in fact the best possible practice. Lastly, Mr. Dalotto stated that there appears to be a lack of protection against bias within the current make-up of the panels charged with reviewing the proposed additions to the conditions accepted by the OMMP—all members do not necessarily agree upon the basic, therapeutic value of medical cannabis.

CONCLUSIONS	

Ms. Nichols will forward copies of the agendas from both the 9/6/11 and 12/5/11 ACMM meetings to the committee.

Ms. Noon has been charged with reviewing the current guidelines, rules, and statutory obligations the State is subject to regarding the process through which a new condition may be added to the OMMP's current list of acceptable conditions.

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	OUTCOME
None	N/A	N/A

	UNINTERRUPTED ADMINISTRATIVE REPORTS	OHA ADMINISTRATION
DISCUSSION		

Program Updates: The OMMP currently has 32 permanent employees and has brought on 4 temporary employees to back-fill positions while permanent staff participates in training on the OMMP's new database. The temporary employees will also help with other tasks as need.

Budget Review: Ms. Noon noted that both the ACMM and public have raised concerns over the program's increased fees and that the legislature has requested reports on not only the revenue the fees are creating, but also the impact they are having. Their request, coupled with the ACMM's for increased reporting, has led her to ask that an attempt be made to create a tracking report which could be provided to the ACMM, legislature, and the public as a whole in the form of a year over year analysis. The revenue the program was mandated to attain, the revenue the new fees has created, the program's expenditures, and the resulting balance are also being tracked for public review and discourse should additional funds remain.

Mr. Klahr noted that Oregon Green Free will conduct web-surveys from time to time, and that a recent poll given to 100 members of the site resulted in 14% stating they'd stay registered with the OMMP despite the new fees; 7% stating this would be their last year registering with the OMMP; 53% saying they will "make do, but suffer in other areas"; and 26% claiming they will continue to use medical cannabis with or without a card.

Mr. Dalotto asked for the OMMP's financial reports to reflect a larger span of time, rather than focusing on projections, and continued by asking that such a report be generated after the meeting. Mr. Dalotto also noted that he had asked Ms. Noon and Ms. Nichols to provide the total cost of the rule making process for the OMMP's new fees. He claimed it is important to know what the process is costing the patients as the entire process was rendered moot by the actions of the legislature. Ms. Noon and Ms. Nichols said the cost had not been calculated, and then asked Mr. Dalotto to define which costs he wanted to know. Ms. Noon stated that she could attempt to generate a list of certain costs—the hearings officer, legal, and publication of the notice—but that others, such as the cost of reprinting application forms would not necessarily be tracked.

Ms. Burbank said some people are still unaware of the new fees. Ms. Nichols stated the OMMP did the best it could given the limited amount of time they had to work with. She continued by noting that the new fees are listed on the renewal packets and have been posted on the program's website since the legislature determined what they would be. Ms. Burbank asked what the total cost of mailing out notices to every patient would have been. Mr. Funk interjected and made a motion that the OMMP notify every patient of the new fees. Dr. Lehrburger called for a discussion, and suggested that e-mailing the patients may be a better idea. Ms. Nichols noted that the OMMP does not request the e-mail addresses of its cardholders. Mr. Cossel noted that the cost would be exorbitant. Mr. Klahr asked about the possibility of a Public Service Announcement. Christine Stone, a Public Affairs Specialist with the state present at the meeting, stated that Public Service Announcements are usually not effective due to the time at which they air. Mr. Michaels asked for clarification as to what the process is when someone does not submit the correct fee. Mr. Cossel stated that the patient is given 14 days to submit

the balance, but added that the OMMP has been lenient with this timeline because of how rapidly the fees were altered. He also reiterated that renewal packets listing the new fees are sent two or three months prior to a patient's card expiration date.

At Dr. Cohn's request, Ms. Nichols explained that Services and Supply expenditures for the program were up as a result of the OMMP's new database.

Statistics: The OMMP currently has 58,438 registered patients, 30,011 of whom have a caregiver, and 2,038 physicians with current OMMP patients.

CONCLUSIONS	

Mr. Cossel will provide a total number of growers.

Mr. Cossel will provide the ACMM with a quarterly LEDS usage report.

The OMMP's financial reporting methods will be reviewed to determine if there is additional information that can be provided, or if the scope can be expanded upon.

Ms. Noon will attempt to compile a total cost of the rule making process for the ACMM.

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	OUTCOME
Motion: The ACMM recommends that the program notify all patient cardholders of the increase in fees separate from the notification of the Renewals Packet, 2nd by Ms. Burbank.	Mr. Funk	Motion Passed 4-3 Mr. Funk, Dalotto, Klahr, & Ms. Burbank were for; Mr. Michaels, Dr. Cohn, and Dr. Lehrburger were against.

DIALOGUE BETWEEN ACMM AND ADMINSTRATION — **TAWANA NICHOLS & AARON COSSEL**

DISCUSSION	

Scheduling of Next Meeting: March 1, 2011 in Salem (exact location to be determined).

Customer Service: Ms. Nichols explained that, as a result of the increased fees, there has been a large increase in the amount of cash the OMMP has received at its customer service windows. As such, she continued, there have been increasing security concerns for both the staff and public. The OMMP has determined a possible solution is to permanently close the customer service windows and offer a secured drop-box as a means for the public to submit documentation and payments. Ms. Burbank asked if the OMMP could accept credit or debit cards to eliminate the amount of cash being brought into the office. Ms. Nichols explained that there is a 2% charge per transaction, and would therefore not be cost-effective for the program. Ms. Noon then described an alternative the program had explored—to eliminate the program's acceptance of cash. However, it was determined the program cannot mandate participants pay the fees associated with their registrations with check or money order. Ms. Noon also stated that the OMMP would like to eventually move to an online application process, but would not be capable of doing so in the immediate future.

Mr. Funk asked how many people go to the window with the correct amount of money, and added that a drop box would not be able to inform people about any errors they have made in their documentation or fee payment. Mr. Sorensen explained when people come to the window without the correct fee, some choose to return at a later point in time and others will pay the correct amount. Mr. Funk asked what security concerns existed. Mr. Sorensen explained that there are numerous issues surrounding the safety of the individuals charged with handling the money. He then added that a secured pick-up was considered as a possibility, but was also determined not to be cost-effective. Mr. Sorensen went on to explain that it takes 3-5 people to effectively operate the window on a daily basis and that the program will soon be losing three temporary employees, 2 of whom are responsible for working the window. Ms. Noon added that the program does not have the capability of making change, which has become an additional concern.

Mr. Klahr asked if there is a way to have a drop box with some form of receipt given. Ms. Noon stated that is being taken into consideration. Ms. Burbank noted some people travel great distanced to receive a stamped copy of their application at the window. Dr. Lehrburger asked what percent of applications and fees are coming through the mail, and what percent are taken at the window. Mr. Sorensen explained he had tracked that information, as had another team within the program. It had been determined that, although the number can vary on a daily basis due to a variety of factors, the vast majority of documentation and payments are received at the window—at least 60%.. Mr. Sorensen added that most of the people utilizing the window are from the Portland Metro area. At Dr. Lehrburger's request, Mr. Sorensen noted OMMP's only customer service windows in the state are located at the OMMP office in Portland.

Mr. Klahr suggested the patients pay at the clinics who will, in turn, wire it to the OMMP. Ms. Burbank stated this would require more staff at the clinics. Ms. Nichols stated this idea may not fall within the state's guidelines for handling money. Ms. Noon said it may be possible in the future.

Mr. Dalotto noted there are an increasing number of people committed to using cash to avoid having to give huge corporations a percentage of every transaction. He also drew attention to the Oregon Medical Marijuana Act (OMMA) and suggested that the program consider allowing applicants to submit their paperwork and fees at their County Health Office.

Term Limits: There are two vacancies on the ACMM. Ms. Nichols submitted all applications received to the ACMM for review and recommendations. She asked for recommendations to be sent via e-mail within the next two weeks.

Additional Information Relating to DUII: Mr. Cossel explained that he recently attended a meeting of the Governor's Council on Driving Under the Influence of Intoxicants. Two pieces of information pertinent to the OMMP came out of the meeting, he explained. The first is that law enforcement will notify the program of participants convicted of, or go into Diversion for, a medical marijuana related DUII. Secondly, as this is in violation of the OMMA, the program will move to suspend those individuals for six months upon notification from law enforcement. Mr. Michaels stated he has a litany of objections to Diversion being included, and will send them to Mr. Cossel, who will then forward them to legal.

Ms. Burbank noted there are issues with blood testing after an alleged DUII offense. An individual may fail the test, she explained, but not be intoxicated. Dr. Cohn stated that a recent study looked at states with permissible use of medical marijuana and found a 10% decrease in deaths by automobile accidents within those states.

Mr. Cossel added that the Council requested the OMMP prominently quote, on the card itself, the OMMA insofar as it is concerned with driving under the influence. Ms. Burbank and Mr. Dalotto expressed concern that law enforcement may aggressively pursue convictions for medical marijuana related DUIIs in an effort to thin the patient population. Mr. Dalotto asked if a marijuana related DUII was in direct violation of the OMMA. Mr. Cossel stated that it is a limitation on the cardholder's immunity.

Ms. Burbank asked for clarification on the Replacement Card Fee and the tracking of other, non-qualifying conditions listed on an Attending Physician's Statement. Ms. Nichol's response can be found in the Conclusions to this section listed directly below.

CONCLUSIONS

The time and location of upcoming meetings will be reiterated in correspondences between the ACMM and the OMMP.

The Administration is considering closing the OMMP's customer service windows due to rising security, safety, and logistical concerns.

The ACMM will send Ms. Nichols their recommendations for new appointees to the ACMM within two weeks.

Both a marijuana related DUII conviction and Diversion will result in a suspension of a patient's registration for 6 months. Mr. Michaels will send his objections to Mr. Cossel who will, in turn, forward them to legal.

There is not a Replacement Card fee when patients change the mailing address for themselves, their caregivers, or their growers.

The OMMP is not currently able to track other, non-qualifying conditions listed on an Attending Physician's Statement.

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	OUTCOME
None	N/A	N/A

OUTREACH COMMITTEE REPORT **SANDEE BURBANK**

DISCUSSION

Ms. Burbank stated that the Outreach Committee met on November 3, 2011for a project focused on the possibility of utilizing audio/visual feeds during ACMM meetings. This discussion was placed on hold due to the fact that Annette Johnston, the OMMP's Office Manger, was not currently present.

Ms. Burbank continued by referring to a letter sent by the ACMM to the director of the Eugene Airport, who claimed he sent a response at some point within the last year. Dr. Cohn verified that no one present, either with the ACMM or OMMP, received the response and that the director stated he will resend it. Ms. Burbank commented on a copy of the law regarding flying while possessing medical marijuana, sent by Mr. Michaels to the ACMM. Mr. Michaels claimed FAA regulations affirm that any state laws authorizing the possession of a controlled substance allows that substance to be brought onto an aircraft, but added that he was unsure if the airport personnel would follow the regulation.

Ms. Burbank had planned on discussing the panel selection criteria, but did not as it had already been addressed.

Ms. Burbank wanted to follow up on reports of abuse of the Law Enforcement Data Systems (LEDS) and a report the OMMP had agreed to send to the ACMM on the subject. As of the date of the meeting, no report had been sent. Ms. Noon stated she is compiling a list of reports that the ACMM has requested. Mr. Michaels verified that the OMMP will not give notice to registrants when law enforcement uses LEDS or calls the OMMP to request an individual's registration status. Mr. Michaels then asked that this be a topic added to the agenda of the next ACMM meeting. Ms. Burbank concluded by restating the ACMM's desire to receive a report on the use of LEDS in the wake of reported misuse, citing Deschutes County OLCC investigators utilizing LEDS via a law enforcement official as an example. The OLCC investigators, she claimed, do not have access and inappropriately gathered protected information through a law enforcement official who did.

CONCLUSIONS

Mr. Cossel will send the ACMM a LEDS use report.

The OMMP's response to registrants asking for any law enforcement inquiries made regarding their registration status will be an agenda topic at the next ACMM meeting.

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	OUTCOME
None	N/A	N/A

MEETING ADJOURNED FOR LUNCH: 12:00 PM

MEETING CALLED TO ORDER: 1:00 PM

HORTICULTURE, RESEARCH & SAFETY COMMITTEE REPORT — TODD DALOTTO

DISCUSSION

Per Mr. Dalotto, the committee is requesting that the OHA staff responsible for the OMMP's data system contact the committee and schedule a meeting to discuss the ways in which to best utilize the new data system and implement the OMMP Research and Data Reporting ideas developed by the committee (i.e. tracking and reporting the number of number of patients, caregivers, growers, and physicians). This information, he suggested, could help clinics predict high volumes of renewal patients in order to better manage their care. He added that inter-agency data reporting on information such as savings to the Oregon Health Plan by patients opting to use medical cannabis, or comparisons of conditions listed for patients on the program to the overall population, would provide a basis for independent research. This research, he offered, could examine the effects of cannabis for non-qualifying conditions and help predict production costs. Furthermore, Mr. Dalotto stated that data should be published on the OMMP's website in real-time and in whatever format suites the data. Mr. Dalotto submitted a list of possible reports to the OMMP for review and consideration. Lastly, he reiterated that the committee is awaiting a response from Dr. Kohn regarding a letter sent on 9/8/11 requesting the OHA sponsor a legislative concept for the 2012 session regarding research on PTSD.

CONCLUSIONS

The Horticulture, Research, and Safety Committee has requested a meeting with the OHA staff responsible for maintaining the OMMP's database in order to discuss different reporting options.

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	OUTCOME
None	N/A	N/A

BYLAWS DISCUSSION — TODD DALOTTO

DISCUSSION

Mr. Funk stated that any motions to amend the committee's bylaws cannot be made unless it is a topic listed on the meeting's agenda, as had been determined at the ACMM's previous meeting. It was therefore listed on the current agenda. Mr. Dalotto led the discussion, and began by giving a brief description of the term limits for ACMM members. When the committee started meeting in 2006, he stated, some members were given a 4 year term while others were given a 3, 2, or 1 year term—the logic being that all member terms would not expire at the same time to avoid chaos. The bylaws state, as Mr. Dalotto explained, that a member is only allowed to serve 2 full terms on the committee. However, the bylaws fail to define a term (see motion and outcome below).

CONCLUSIONS

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	OUTCOME
Motion: Article III, Section (2), of the ACMM bylaws shall be amended to include the following definition: "full term" means a period of four years. Terms of office fewer than four years shall not be considered "full terms". All Articles and sections of the ACMM bylaws shall be amended to reflect the organizational transition called for by the 2009 Legislature by replacing all references to "Department of Human Services" and "DHS" with "Oregon Health Authority" and "OHA", respectively. Motion 2nd by Dr. Cohn.	Todd Dalotto	Motion passed unanimously.

LIVING WELL PROGRAM **CATHRYN CUSHING**

DISCUSSION

Prior to the above noted discussion, Ms. Burbank asked to speak about the Drug Policy Alliance Conference she attended in Los Angeles. A physician there had conducted a survey on medical cannabis and is willing to share the results. Anyone wishing to view the results should contact Ms. Burbank. The conference, she continued, also had several break-out groups examining other health issues.

Mr. Klahr asked if a discussion on non-profit organizations being considered "a person" could be added to a future agenda. Ms. Nichols explained that it could be if the ACMM decides to add it.

Mr. Michaels wanted to verify that only registrants with medical marijuana related DUIIs could face the six month suspension. Mr. Cossel stated that the suspension would only apply to registrants with medical marijuana related DUIIs.

Ms. Noon stated that she has become aware of the desire from both the ACMM and the public for the OMMP to become more integrated with public heath as a whole. Therefore, she has asked representatives from other programs to meet with the committee and the public. This is something Ms. Noon would like to see as a standing agenda item.

Cathryn Cushing of the Health Promotion and Chronic Disease Prevention Section of the Public Health Division explained that she works with other agencies and programs to assist people in quitting smoking. Her team looks to interface with different populations, and suggested that the registrants in the OMMP may be a population they could work with. Dr. Cohn suggested that many clinics are encouraging their patient's to vaporize cannabis, rather than smoke, and asked if that might be something Ms. Cushing would be willing to explore. Ms. Cushing noted that she would and proceeded to introduce Cara Railsback, who was present to speak about the Chronic Disease Self-Management Program.

Ms. Railsback defined self management as something an individual has to do every day to deal with their medical concerns. It is not disease specific, and allows participants to develop a self management "tool-box" which includes, but is not limited to, dealing with stress, eating healthy, and managing their medications. Information on the program can be found on DHS's website:
http://public.health.oregon.gov/DiseasesConditions/ChronicDisease/LivingWell/Pages/Index.aspx

CONCLUSIONS

Only registrants with medical marijuana related DUIIs would be subject to the 6 month suspension.

Ms. Noon suggested discussion with representatives from other Public Health programs be a standing agenda item for future ACMM meetings.

Ms. Nichols will forward Ms. Cushing's contact information to the ACMM.

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	OUTCOME

	PUBLIC COMMENT	PUBLIC-ACMM
DISCUSSION		

Donald Morse: Mr. Morse asked the OHA administration how often they send reports to the legislature and what information is provided. Ms. Noon said the reports are provided upon request and contain whatever information is requested. Mr. Morse suggested that the legislature is uninformed and that the ACMM should help educate them whenever possible. Mr. Morse continued by suggesting that the legislature is trying to trim the number of participants on the program and may benefit from the receipt of quarterly reports listing the types of information Mr. Dalotto recommended earlier in the meeting. Mr. Morse believes information such as that will help the legislature make better decisions. There is an increasing population, he continued, that are accepting the use of medical marijuana. Mr. Funk echoed Mr. Morse's frustrations and stated that the ACMM has also experienced them when communicating with the legislature.

Sarah Bennett: Ms. Bennett asked if the ACMM could submit a recommendation to the OHA for changing the panel selection criteria, as discussed earlier in the meeting. Ms. Burbank explained that has occurred several times already. Ms. Noon repeated that she is looking at the panel member selection and process, the extent to which it is necessary, and the ways in which it may possibly be changed. Ms. Bennett went on to explain that the OHA receipt stamp is imperative to participants wishing to utilize the Human Collective. Lastly, Ms. Bennett asked why the OMMP would be willing to pay the service charge for credit card use if the application process was conducted on-line, but not at the window. Ms. Noon stated that, as she understood it, the fees for online credit card use are different than the charges which would be accrued by having a card-reader at the customer service window.

Jennifer Valley: Last week several governors asked the Federal Government to reschedule marijuana, and Ms. Valley would like the ACMM to ask Governor Kitzhaber and the state legislature to do the same at the federal level.

Mercy TV: Thanked Mr. Michaels for continuing to fight for the addition of PTSD as a qualifying condition.

Cheryl Smith: The Compassion Center in Eugene, where Ms. Smith works, has conducted a survey on their patients with PTSD, the result of which were not yet known. In addition, she wanted to offer her services in drafting new rules. Lastly, she claimed that many people will want to continue to have their application stamped at the customer service window.

Dan Stadelman: Mr. Stadelman stated that both he, and a recently deceased friend, were concerned over what he described as excessively large grows attracting federal attention and reflecting poorly upon the program. He was also concerned about medical marijuana cardholders not being allowed to purchase a firearm. He believes medical marijuana should be available to everyone, not just people with a lot of money. Lastly, he stated there needs to be some solidification on the amount of compensation allowed for growers. Many growers, he suggested, are asking for a ridiculous level of reimbursement. Ms. Ray, who also knew Mr. Stadelman's friend, wanted people to be aware that there is no mechanism by which an incarcerated individual can receive their medication. Even though medical marijuana is a Schedule II narcotic, she continued, it is not prescribed medication and therefore not allowed in prison. Ms. Burbank stated that more and more physicians are forcing their patients to choose between continuing to receive medical prescriptions and using medical cannabis.

John Sajo: A former member of the ACMM, Mr. Sajo noted that the committee had worked on creating the 24 hour reporting system through LEDS. It has now been suggested, he continued, that there have been over 50,000 searches conducted through the system. Sharing common ground with law enforcement, it had been agreed upon that there be some form of checks and balances for those using the system. Mr. Sajo stated it appears no such accountability exists and wanted to know why. Ms. Burbank explained that Mr. Cossel will begin giving the ACMM reports on LEDS usage.

Harold Wipf: Mr. Wipf asked if a patient is privy to inquires made by law enforcement regarding their status. Mr. Funk stated that his question was discussed earlier in the meeting. Mr. Wipf also asked why people wanted to travel to Portland for a stamped application. A member of the audience, Mr. Morse, stated that Resource Centers rely upon that stamp as a form of proof needed to protect their organizations. Mr. Morse suggested a certified mail receipt could be for anything, not necessarily a submitted application. Mr. Dalotto explained that legal protection under the OMMA begins when an individual has proof the authority has received the application and going to the customer service window gets that proof immediately.

Unnamed individual: This person was not listed on the sign-in sheet and did not give their name. He noted that the OMMP used to accept requests for copies of all LE inquires for $75 and asked if that was still possible. Mr. Cossel stated that, typically, if an attorney requests the information the OMMP will provide it without charge. Mr. Cossel went on to explain that an agreement was made with law enforcement, noting that the OMMP would check with law enforcement to ensure it did not have an ongoing investigation with an individual prior to verifying the LEDS check occurred with that individual.

Ms. Burbank stated she believed law enforcement needed to be partaking in an ongoing investigation prior to accessing the LEDS system. Mr. Cossel stated that is not required by statute. Mr. Michaels reiterated that law enforcement has stretched the limits, or perhaps abused the boundaries of, their authority to access the LEDS system insofar as the OMMP is concerned.

Kristen Gustafson: Ms. Gustofson stated that doubling the price of applications, combined with the possibility of closing the customer service window was not a good idea.

Mr. Funk, Mr. Dalotto, and Ms. Nichols all reiterated that the OMMA and Administrative Rules can be found on the OMMP's website.

CONCLUSIONS

Ms. Burbank will resubmit the ACMM's recommendation to change the panel selection process to Ms. Noon.

The letter proposed by Ms. Valley will be placed on the next meeting agenda.

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	OUTCOME
None	N/A	N/A

PUBLIC ANNOUNCMENTS AND COMMENT **PUBLIC-ACMM**

MEETING AJOURNED	**2:30PM**
MINUTES SUBMITTED BY	John Sorensen
SPECIAL NOTES	N/A