



# Meeting Minutes

Oregon HIV/Viral Hepatitis/Sexually Transmitted Infection  
Integrated Planning Group (IPG)



Committee: Coordination

Date: 1/25/12

Number of voting members present: 9

Number of others/non-voting members present: 1

Agenda Item/Topic	Key Themes in Discussion	Outcomes (Decisions or Next Steps)
Committee Tasks: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify committee goal(s)</li> </ul>	<p><b>Goal #1: Achieving a More Coordinated Response to the HIV/VH/STI Epidemics</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Increase the coordination of HIV, VH, STI programs across the state, tribal, and local governments and across private providers.</li> <li>Increase the coordination of planning across the HIV, VH, STI programs.</li> <li>Develop improved mechanisms to monitor and report on progress toward achieving goals.</li> </ul> <p><b>Goal #2: Reducing HIV/VH/STI-Related Disparities and Health Inequities</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reduce stigma and discrimination against people living with HIV, VH, and STIs."</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Asked for additional clarification or additions to the goal statements; and</li> <li>The group considered statewide applications of each goal</li> <li>How to best use resources efficiently across a continuum from primary prevention to palliative care with a goal of decreasing health disparities; and</li> <li>How HB 3650 might impact the opportunities available through the Affordable Care Act (ACA) and the establishment of Coordinated Care Organizations (CCO's).</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify a Chairperson</li> </ul>	Who could facilitate the work of the committee?	A Coordination Committee chair was elected
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Write questions that PDES can assist with.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Statewide applications;</li> <li>Engagement of traditional/non-traditional providers that provide services to HIV/VH/STI clients, whether funded by</li> </ul>	<p><b>Objective 1.</b> Coordinated testing services across public and private service agencies in Oregon:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify providers who are doing this already in an</li> </ol>

	<p>CDC or not;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Build on the work of Coordinated Care Organizations in Oregon</li> </ul>	<p>integrative fashion, can they spear head leadership with fellow “providers”?</p> <p>b. Identify managed care policies and practices that support integrative work in the private sector...</p> <p><b>Objective 2. Coordinated Planning Across Programs</b></p> <p>a. What are the benchmarks of integrated, coordinated programs?</p> <p>b. Who is using these benchmarks and how to address concepts like Program Collaboration and Service Integration (PCSI)?</p> <p>c. FHQCs – are they providing coordinated access and care if yes, what are their benchmarks? If no, why not and who gets involved here (HRSA? Rural Health?).</p>
<p>Follow-up</p>		<p>a. Links about the ACA and CCO and impacts of these strategies will be disseminated</p> <p>b. Each committee member was assigned the task of reading the guidance materials for HV, HIV, and STIs</p> <p>c. Committee members were asked to compose a brief summary of their current program/agency day-to-day activities and to provide current examples of how their collective efforts involve traditional and non-traditional agencies, groups and individuals on a local or statewide level.</p>
<p>Additional Input</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A member of the IPG also encouraged the Coordination Committee to formally make suggestions on how each IPG committee moves towards the end goal of integrated</li> </ul>	

	thinking, planning and implementation in a manner that promotes the efforts along the entire HIV continuum instead of siloed sections of HV, HIV, STI prevention and care!	
--	--	--