


Oregon HIV/Viral Hepatitis/STI Integrated Planning Group: Updated 6/17/16



Key: Goal/Actual
 o = Official
 c = Consumer
 * = One Official and One Consumer
 ** = One Official and One Previously Incarcerated
 *** = One HIV State Prevention Staff and One HIV State Care Staff
 **** = Representatives from each Part Ryan White Programs

Representation goals were derived from Oregon EPI Profile, CDC Community Planning Guidance, and Required Elements in the Ryan White Care Act. Many existing members met the initial criteria for membership in several categories. To meet the overall goals outlined in each of the categories listed on the IPG Membership Graphic, members were placed in the categories that were identified as priority and deficient in meeting the prescribed goal numbers. The IPG membership representation numbers may appear skewed in some categories because all of the characteristics of each member (Region, Race/Ethnicity, Agency, etc.) are reflected on the final grid document.

IPG Membership – Current Openings

Region 2: Areas with 50 – 70 living cases of HIV/AIDS (Douglas, Josephine, Linn, Yamhill) – **six** slots open

Trans persons

Non-gay-identified men who have sex with men

Race/Ethnicity: Hispanic/Latino/Latina – two slots open

A person from a faith-based community

A professional service provider specifically to Veterans

A representative from the Department of Education

Young Adults (18-24) or someone from/working with a young-adult-focused organization - **two** slots open

Regional breakdown of counties based on number of living HIV cases

REGION 1: Areas with 70 or more living cases of HIV/AIDS

Representation goals were derived from Oregon EPI Profile, CDC Community Planning Guidance, and Required Elements in the Ryan White Care Act. Many existing members met the initial criteria for membership in several categories. To meet the overall goals outlined in each of the categories listed on the IPG Membership Graphic, members were placed in the categories that were identified as priority and deficient in meeting the prescribed goal numbers. The IPG membership representation numbers may appear skewed in some categories because all of the characteristics of each member (Region, Race/Ethnicity, Agency, etc.) are reflected on the final grid document.

Oregon HIV/Viral Hepatitis/STI Integrated Planning Group: Updated 6/17/16

Clackamas
Deschutes
Jackson
Lane
Marion
Multnomah
Washington

REGION 2: Areas with 50 – 70 living cases of HIV/AIDS

Douglas
Josephine
Linn
Yamhill

REGION 3: Areas with 30 – 49 living cases of HIV/AIDS

Benton
Columbia
Coos
Curry
Lincoln
Umatilla

REGION 4: Areas with less than 30 living cases of HIV/AIDS

Baker
Clatsop
Crook
Gilliam
Grant
Harney
Hood River
Jefferson
Klamath
Lake
Malheur
Morrow
Polk
Sherman
Tillamook
Union
Wallowa
Wasco
Wheeler

Representation goals were derived from Oregon EPI Profile, CDC Community Planning Guidance, and Required Elements in the Ryan White Care Act. Many existing members met the initial criteria for membership in several categories. To meet the overall goals outlined in each of the categories listed on the IPG Membership Graphic, members were placed in the categories that were identified as priority and deficient in meeting the prescribed goal numbers. The IPG membership representation numbers may appear skewed in some categories because all of the characteristics of each member (Region, Race/Ethnicity, Agency, etc.) are reflected on the final grid document.